Miami talk questions

- 1. NOvA seems to prefer $\delta_C P = \pi/2$, isn't this in contrast to what T2K sees?
- Gave the standard answer that the significance is low and we are pretty flat across all values of δ_{CP} and so consistent with T2K.
- 2. What is our sensitivity to the absolute ν mass?
- With an oscillation experiment you only have sensitivity to the mass splitting, not to the absolute mass scale.
- 3. How do you have sensitivity to Δm^2 ?
- Features in both ν_{μ} survival probability and ν_{e} appearance probability, so we can vary its value when we fit to our FD data.
- We also get sensitivity to hierarchy through the different effects between ν_{μ} and $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ beam (see: bi-event animations.).
- 4. Limits from cosmology are pushing down on limit on $\Sigma_i \nu_i \sim 120 \ meV$. Does this have (or will it have) an impact on our fits/sensitivity?
- Answered at the time that I think we don't include this as any constraint in the fit. From our best-fit Δm^2 we are still fully consistent $\Sigma_i \nu_i \sim 120 \ meV$.
- We discussed some more in the coffee break and he sent me a link to the latest Planck results.
- 5. What is the efficiency of CVN?
- Forgot to include CVN heatmaps in backups and couldn't remember off-hand. Followed up in the break.